arXiv:1701.03921v1 [math-ph] 14 Jan 2017

A variational derivation of the thermodynamics of a moist atmosphere with irreversible processes

François Gay-Balmaz[1]

17 January 2017

Abstract

Irreversible processes play a major role in the description and prediction of atmospheric dynamics. In this paper, we present a variational derivation of the evolution equations for a moist atmosphere subject to the irreversible processes of molecular viscosity, heat conduction, diffusion, and phase transition. This derivation is based on the general variational formalism for nonequilibrium thermodynamics established in Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017a,b], which extends Hamilton's principle to incorporates irreversible processes. It is valid for any state equation and thus also covers the case of the atmosphere of other planets. In this approach, the second law of thermodynamics is understood as a nonlinear constraint formulated with the help of new variables, called thermodynamic displacements, whose time derivative coincides with the thermodynamic force of the irreversible process. The formulation is written both in the Lagrangian and Eulerian descriptions and can be directly adapted to oceanic dynamics.

1 Introduction

The partial differential equations governing the thermodynamic of the atmosphere are of obvious importance for weather and climate prediction. These equations are well-known for their extreme complexity, both from the theoretical and the computational side, which is in part due to the many physical processes that they involve, such as phase transition, cloud formation, precipitation, and radiation.

In absence of irreversible processes, the equations of atmospheric dynamic can be derived by applying Hamilton's variational principle to the Lagrangian function of the fluid. This is in agreement with a fundamental fact from classical reversible mechanics, namely that the motion of the mechanical system is governed by the Euler-Lagrange equations which, in turn, describe the critical points of the action functional of this Lagrangian among all possible trajectories with prescribed values at the temporal extremities. Hamilton's principle for fluid mechanics in the Lagrangian description has been discussed at least since the works of Herivel [1955], for an incompressible fluid and Serrin [1959] and Eckart [1960] for compressible flows, see also Truesdell and Toupin [1960] for further references. While in the Lagrangian description this principle is a straightforward extension of the Hamilton principle of particles mechanics, in the Eulerian description the variational principle is much more involved and several approaches have been developed, see Lin [1963], Seliger and Whitham [1968], Bretherton [1970]. We refer to Salmon [1983] and Salmon [1988] for further developments in

[1]LMD/IPSL, CNRS, Ecole normale supérieure, PSL Research University, Ecole polytechnique, Université Paris-Saclay, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, 24 Rue Lhomond 75005 Paris, France, francois.gay-balmaz@lmd.ens.fr

the context of geophysical fluids, see also Müller [1995]. In Holm, Marsden and Ratiu [2002], the variational principle in Eulerian description is obtained via the Euler-Poincaré reduction theory for several geophysical fluid models, by exploiting the relabelling symmetries. Physically, the existence of a variational Hamilton's principle manifests itself in specific Lagrangian conservation laws, the most celebrated being the conservation of potential vorticity which alone allows one to understand many processes taking place in the atmosphere, the ocean, or in laboratory experiments (e.g. Hoskins, McIntyre, and Robertson [1985]). Conservation of potential vorticity is related to Kelvin's circulation theorem which, thanks to variational principle, can be interpreted in terms of the general Noether theorem, linking conservation laws to symmetries. More recently, the variational formulation of geophysical fluids has been crucially used in Desbrun, Gawlik, Gay-Balmaz, and Zeitlin [2014] and Bauer and Gay-Balmaz [2016] to derive structure preserving numerical schemes for rotating stratified fluids in the Boussinesq and pseudo-incompressible approximations, following Pavlov et al. [2010], Gawlik et al. [2011].

Irreversible processes such as phase transition, cloud formation, precipitation, and radiation, play a major role in the description and prediction of atmospheric dynamics and it has been a long standing question whether or not the variational formulations mentioned above can be extended to include all these irreversible processes. In this paper, we shall positively answer this question by presenting a variational derivation for the thermodynamic of a moist atmosphere that includes the irreversible processes of molecular viscosity, heat conduction, diffusion, and phase transition. We shall use the variational formulation of nonequilibrium thermodynamics recently developed in Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017a,b]. The main aspect of this approach is the introduction, for each of the irreversible process, of a new variable, called the thermodynamic displacement, whose time derivative coincides with the thermodynamic force of the process. This new variable allows us to formulate the second law of thermodynamics as a nonlinear constraint to be used in the variational formulation, both for the Lagrangian and Eulerian descriptions. This constraint involves the phenomenological expression of each of the thermodynamic fluxes in terms of the thermodynamic forces. It is hence called the phenomenological constraint. From the point of view of atmospheric modelling, this constraint encodes the various parametrizations of subgrid scale effects in general circulation models of the atmosphere. In absence of irreversible processes, the constraint disappears and our variational formulation consistently recovers the classical variational principles in Lagrangian and Eulerian descriptions.

The atmosphere of the Earth is composed of dry air, water substance in any of its three phases, and atmospheric aerosols. For practical use in meteorology, dry air, whose main components are Nitrogen and Oxygen, can be regarded as an ideal gas. Unlike the components of dry air, the proportion of the gas phase of water, i.e., water vapor, is very variable and plays a major role in the thermodynamics of the atmosphere because of its ability to condense under atmospheric conditions. The condensed phases of water consist of cloud particles (water droplet or ice crystal) that follow the motion of dry air and of hydrometeors (such as rain and snow) that are falling through the air. The atmospheric aerosols are solid and liquid particles in suspension (other than that of water substance) whose study is very important for atmospheric chemistry, cloud and precipitation physics, and for atmospheric radiation and optics. It is not significant for atmospheric thermodynamics, and shall not be considered here. In the first part of the paper (Section 2), we restrict our approach to the case of dry air. In this case, the only irreversible processes are viscosity and heat conduction. This situation allows us to introduce the main ideas of our approach in a simplified context. In the second part of the paper (Section 3) we consider the case of a moist atmosphere by including water substance in its different phases, namely, water vapor, liquid water, and solid water. The irreversible processes considered are viscosity, heat conduction,

vapor diffusion, and phase changes. We also compute the impact of the irreversible processes on the potential vorticity equation and on Kelvin's circulation theorem, by staying in the general Lagrangian framework, which provides a useful unified treatment of potential vorticity and circulation theorems for various approximations of the equations of atmospheric dynamics. Finally, in Section 4, we quickly mention that our approach directly applies to oceanic dynamics with the irreversible process of molecular viscosity, heat conduction, and salt diffusion included.

2 Variational derivation of the thermodynamic of a dry atmosphere

In this section we consider the dynamics of a dry atmosphere subject to the irreversible processes of molecular viscosity and of heat exchange by molecular conduction.

The equation of state of dry air is the ideal gas law

$$v = v(p,T) = \frac{R_d T}{p}, \tag{2.1}$$

where v is the specific volume, p is the pressure, T is the temperature, $R_d = R^*/m_d$ is the gas constant for dry air written in terms of the universal gas constant R^* and the mean molecular weight of dry air m_d. The expression of all other thermodynamic variables for dry air in terms of p and T can be derived by using the equation of state (2.1) and the fact that the specific heat C_p at constant pressure can be assumed to be constant in the atmosphere, which is the hypothesis for a perfect gas. For example, it is deduced that the specific internal energy and the specific entropy are

$$u = C_v T, \quad \eta = C_p \ln T - R_d \ln p + \eta_0,$$

where C_v is the specific heat at constant volume, η_0 is a constant, and we assume that the internal energy at $T = 0$ K is zero.

Our variational formulation is more naturally expressed in terms of *density* variables rather than *specific* variables, hence we will write the Lagrangian in terms of the mass density $\rho = \frac{1}{v}$ and entropy density $s = \frac{\eta}{v}$. In addition, the variational derivation has a simpler form in Lagrangian description, this is why we shall first write it in Lagrangian variables and then later deduce from it the Eulerian form.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{x} = \varphi(t, \mathbf{X})$ the Lagrangian trajectory of dry air particles. The variable $\mathbf{X}$ refers to the label of the particle and $\mathbf{x}$ is its current location. The Lagrangian and Eulerian wind velocities $\dot{\varphi} = \frac{d}{dt}\varphi$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are related as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{v}(t, \varphi(t,\mathbf{X})).$$

We shall denote by $S(t,\mathbf{X})$ the entropy density in Lagrangian representation, related to $s(t,\mathbf{x})$ as $S(t,\mathbf{X}) = s(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}))|\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})|$, where $|\nabla\varphi|$ denotes the Jacobian of φ. The mass density in Lagrangian representation is $\varrho_0(\mathbf{X}) = \rho(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}))|\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})|$. Note that because of mass conservation, the mass density ϱ_0 in Lagrangian representation is time independent. This is in contrast with the time dependence of S, due to the presence of the irreversible processes.

The Lagrangian of the dry atmosphere consists of the sum of the kinetic energy and of the contribution of Earth rotation, to which is substracted the gravitational potential Φ and the internal energy u. In terms of the Lagrangian variables φ, $\dot{\varphi}$, and S, it reads

$$L(\varphi,\dot{\varphi},S) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \varrho_0 \left[\frac{1}{2}|\dot{\varphi}|^2 + \mathbf{R}(\varphi)\cdot\dot{\varphi} - \Phi(\varphi) - u\left(\frac{S}{\varrho_0}, \frac{|\nabla\varphi|}{\varrho_0}\right)\right] d\mathbf{X} =: \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{L}\, d\mathbf{X}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here the vector field $\mathbf{R}$ is the vector potential for the Coriolis parameter, i.e., $\operatorname{curl}\mathbf{R} = 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the angular velocity of the Earth. On the right hand side of (2.2) we defined the Lagrangian density $\mathfrak{L}$ as the integrand of the Lagrangian L.

2.1 Variational formulation in Lagrangian description

In absence of irreversible processes and in absence of heat or matter exchange with the exterior, the entropy is conserved. This means that in Lagrangian variables the entropy is time independent, $S(t, \mathbf{X}) = S_0(\mathbf{X})$. In this case, the equations of motion follow from the *Hamilton principle*:

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{L}\, d\mathbf{X}\, dt = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where the critical condition is taken with respect to arbitrary variations $\delta\varphi$ of the Lagrangian trajectory φ, with $\delta\varphi(t_1) = \delta\varphi(t_2) = 0$.

We shall now extend the Hamilton principle (2.3) in order to incorporate the irreversible processes of viscosity and heat conduction. Following Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017b], we consider the variational condition

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \big(\mathfrak{L} + (S - \Sigma)\dot{\Gamma}\big) d\mathbf{X}\, dt = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

subject to the *phenomenological constraint*

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}\dot{\Sigma} = -\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\dot{\varphi} + \mathbf{J}_S \cdot \nabla\dot{\Gamma} \tag{2.5}$$

and with respect to variations $\delta\varphi$, δS, $\delta\Sigma$, $\delta\Gamma$ subject to the *variational constraint*

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}\delta\Sigma = -\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\delta\varphi + \mathbf{J}_S \cdot \nabla\delta\Gamma \tag{2.6}$$

and with $\delta\varphi(t_i) = 0$, $\delta\Gamma(t_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

This variational formulation introduces two new functions, Σ and Γ, whose time derivative will be ultimately identified with the entropy generation rate density and the temperature, respectively. The tensor $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ is the Piola-Kirchhoff friction stress tensor and $\mathbf{J}_S$ is the entropy flux density in Lagrangian representation. The link with the corresponding familiar Eulerian objects will be explained below. The notation " : " indicates the contraction of tensors with respect to two indices.

The δ-notation in (2.4) indicates that we compute the variation of the functional with respect to all the fields, namely $\varphi, S, \Sigma, \Gamma$. The constraint (2.5) is referred to as a *phenomenological constraint*, since the expression of the thermodynamic fluxes $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ and $\mathbf{J}_S$ are obtained through phenomenological laws, also called parameterizations of the irreversible processes. It is a nonlinear constraint on the time derivatives of the field variables. We note that the right hand side of this constraint is of the generic form $\sum_\alpha J_\alpha \dot{\Lambda}_\alpha$, for the thermodynamic fluxes J_α (here $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ and $\mathbf{J}_S$) acting on the rate of thermodynamic displacements $\dot{\Lambda}_\alpha$ (here $\dot{\varphi}$ and $\dot{\Gamma}$). The constraint (2.6) is referred to as a *variational constraint*, since it is a constraint on the variations to be considered in (2.4). One passes from (2.5) to (2.6) by formally replacing each occurrence of a time derivative by a δ-variation, i.e., $\sum_\alpha J_\alpha \dot{\Lambda}_\alpha \rightsquigarrow \sum_\alpha J_\alpha \delta\Lambda_\alpha$. This is reminiscent of what happens in the Lagrange-d'Alembert principle in nonholonomic mechanics, see Remark 2.1 below.

Taking the variations in (2.4), using the variational constraint (2.6) and collecting the terms proportional to $\delta\varphi$, $\delta\Gamma$, and δS, we get the three conditions

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \dot\varphi} + \mathrm{DIV}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \nabla\varphi} + \dot\Gamma \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}^{-1} \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}\right) - \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \varphi} = 0$$
$$\dot S = \mathrm{DIV}\left(\dot\Gamma \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}^{-1} \mathbf{J}_S\right) + \dot\Sigma, \qquad \dot\Gamma = -\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S},$$

where DIV denotes the divergence with respect to the labels $\mathbf{X}$. From the last equation, we have $\dot\Gamma = -\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S} = \mathfrak{T}$, the temperature in material representation. This attributes to Γ the meaning of *temperature displacement*, as considered in Green and Naghdi [1991] and introduced in von Helmholtz [1884]. The second equation simplifies to $\dot S + \mathrm{DIV}\,\mathbf{J}_S = \dot\Sigma$ and attributes to $\dot\Sigma$ the meaning of *entropy generation rate density*. From the first equation and the constraint, we thus get the system

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}\dfrac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \dot\varphi} + \mathrm{DIV}\left(\dfrac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \nabla\varphi} - \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}\right) - \dfrac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \varphi} = 0 \\ \mathfrak{T}(\dot S + \mathrm{DIV}\,\mathbf{J}_S) = \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\dot\varphi - \mathbf{J}_S \cdot \nabla\mathfrak{T}, \end{cases}$$

for the fields $\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})$ and $S(t,\mathbf{X})$. We will discuss the parameterization of the thermodynamic fluxes $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ and $\mathbf{J}_S$ in terms of the thermodynamic forces below in the Eulerian description.

We leave to the reader the computation of the explicit form of these equations for the Lagrangian $\mathfrak{L}$ of the dry atmosphere in (2.2). We shall only present the computation in Eulerian variables below.

Remark 2.1 (Lagrange-d'Alembert principle in nonholonomic mechanics)**.** We now comment on the analogy between our variational formulation and the Lagrange-d'Alembert principle used in nonholonomic mechanics. Let us consider a mechanical system with configuration variable q and velocity $v = \dot q$. We assume that the system is subject to a linear constraint on velocity, i.e., a constraint of the form $\omega(q)\cdot\dot q = 0$, for a q-dependent linear map $\omega(q)$. Typical examples are rolling constraints. The extension of Hamilton's principle to nonholonomic systems (called the Lagrange-d'Alembert principle) consists in imposing that the action functional is critical with respect to variations δq subject to the linear constraint $\omega(q)\cdot\delta q = 0$. It formally follows by replacing the time derivative in the constraint $\omega(q)\cdot\dot q = 0$ by a δ-derivative, see, e.g., Bloch [2003]. In our case, the passage from (2.5) to (2.6) can be formally seen as a generalization of this approach, to the case of a nonlinear constraint. We refer to Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017b] for an extensive discussion of the principle (2.4)–(2.6).

2.2 Variational formulation in Eulerian description

In terms of Eulerian fields, the Lagrangian (2.2) reads

$$\ell(\mathbf{v},\rho,s) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v},\rho,s)\,d\mathbf{x},$$

for the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v},\rho,s) = \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2 + \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathbf{v} - \Phi - u\left(s/\rho, 1/\rho\right)\right). \tag{2.7}$$

The Eulerian quantities $\gamma(t,\mathbf{x})$ and $\sigma(t,\mathbf{x})$ associated to $\Gamma(t,\mathbf{X})$ and $\Sigma(t,\mathbf{X})$ are defined as

$$\Gamma(t,\mathbf{X}) = \gamma(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(t,\mathbf{X}) = \sigma(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}))|\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})|; \tag{2.8}$$

the entropy flux density $\mathbf{j}_s$ in Eulerian representation is related to $\mathbf{J}_S$ as

$$\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})\cdot\mathbf{J}_S(t,\mathbf{X}) = |\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})|\mathbf{j}_s(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})); \tag{2.9}$$

finally, the friction stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ is related to the Piola-Kirchhoff friction stress $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ as

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}(t,\mathbf{X})\cdot\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}) = |\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})|\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}(t,\mathbf{X}). \tag{2.10}$$

By using these relations, we can rewrite the variational formalism (2.4)–(2.6) entirely in terms of Eulerian variables as follows:

$$\delta\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}+(s-\sigma)D_t\gamma)\,d\mathbf{x}\,dt = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

subject to the *phenomenological constraint*

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}\bar{D}_t\sigma = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla D_t\gamma \tag{2.12}$$

and with respect to variations

$$\delta\mathbf{v} = \partial_t\boldsymbol{\zeta} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\zeta} - \boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}, \quad \delta\rho = -\operatorname{div}(\rho\boldsymbol{\zeta}), \quad \delta s, \quad \delta\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\gamma, \tag{2.13}$$

that are subject to the *variational constraint*

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}\bar{D}_\delta\sigma = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\boldsymbol{\zeta} + \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla D_\delta\gamma \tag{2.14}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(t_i) = 0$ and $\delta\gamma(t_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

The first two expressions in (2.13) are obtained by taking the variations with respect to φ, $\mathbf{u}$, and ρ, of the relations $\dot{\varphi}(t,\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{u}(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}))$ and $\varrho_0(\mathbf{X}) = \rho(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}))|\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})|$, respectively, and defining $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(t,\mathbf{x})$ as $\delta\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}) = \boldsymbol{\zeta}(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}))$. These formulas can be also directly justified by employing the Euler-Poincaré reduction theory, see Holm, Marsden and Ratiu [2002].

In (2.12) and (2.14), we introduced the notations $D_t f := \partial_t f + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla f$, $\bar{D}_t f := \partial_t f + \operatorname{div}(f\mathbf{v})$, $D_\delta f := \delta f + \boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\nabla f$ and $\bar{D}_\delta f := \delta f + \operatorname{div}(f\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ for the Lagrangian time derivatives and variations of scalar fields and density fields.

By applying (2.11) and using the expression for the variations $\delta\mathbf{v}$ and $\delta\rho$, we find the condition

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\Bigg[\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}+(s-\sigma)\nabla\gamma\right)\cdot(\partial_t\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\zeta}-\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}) - \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho}\operatorname{div}(\rho\boldsymbol{\zeta}) + \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}+D_t\gamma\right)\delta s - \bar{D}_t(s-\sigma)\delta\gamma - \delta\sigma D_t\gamma\Bigg]\,d\mathbf{x}\,dt = 0.$$

Using the variational constraint (2.14) and collecting the terms proportional to $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, δs, and $\delta\gamma$, we obtain the three conditions

$$(\partial_t + \pounds_{\mathbf{v}})\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}+(s-\sigma)\nabla\gamma\right) = \rho\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho} - \sigma\nabla D_t\gamma - \operatorname{div}\left(D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}\right) + \operatorname{div}\left(D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}\mathbf{j}_s\right)\nabla\gamma,$$

$$\bar{D}_t(s-\sigma) = \operatorname{div}\left(D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}\mathbf{j}_s\right), \qquad \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}+D_t\gamma = 0,$$

where we introduced the Lie derivative notation $\pounds_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{m} := \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{m} + \nabla\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\cdot\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{v}$ for a one-form density $\mathbf{m}$ along a vector field $\mathbf{v}$. Further computations finally yield the system

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \pounds_{\mathbf{v}})\dfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}} = \rho\nabla\dfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho} + s\nabla\dfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} + \mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} \\ \dfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}(\bar{D}_t s + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_s) = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla\dfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} \\ \bar{D}_t\rho = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

whose last equation, the mass conservation equation, follows from the definition of ρ in terms of ϱ_0. These are the general equations of motion, in Lagrangian form, for fluid dynamics subject to the irreversible processes of viscosity and heat conduction. From one of the above conditions, we note that $D_t\gamma = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} =: T$, which attributes to γ the meaning of *temperature displacement* in Eulerian variables. From the above conditions, we also note that the variable σ verifies

$$\bar{D}_t\sigma = \bar{D}_t s + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_s = \frac{1}{T}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla T). \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, $\bar{D}_t\sigma$ corresponds to the total *entropy generation rate density* of the system. At this stage, the expressions of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ and $\mathbf{j}_s$ are still not specified.

In absence of irreversible processes (i.e., if $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} = 0$ and $\mathbf{j}_s = 0$), equations (2.15) recover the general form of the *Euler-Poincaré equations* derived in Holm, Marsden and Ratiu [2002] by Lagrangian reduction.

We now write this system in the case of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ of the dry atmosphere given in (2.7), but keeping a general expression for the internal energy. The partial derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}} = \rho(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{R}), \quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho} = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2 + \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathbf{v} - \Phi - u + \eta T - vp, \quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial\eta} = -T.$$

On one hand, we note that

$$\rho\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho} + s\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} = \rho\nabla\left(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2 + \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathbf{v}\right) - \rho\nabla\Phi - \nabla p,$$

on the other hand, using $\bar{D}_t\rho = 0$, we have

$$(\partial_t + \pounds_{\mathbf{v}})\,(\rho(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{R})) = \rho(\partial_t\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{R}) + \nabla\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\cdot(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{R})).$$

From (2.15) we thus get, as desired, the equations of motion for a heat conducting gas subject to the Coriolis, gravitational, and viscous forces:

$$\begin{cases} \rho(\partial_t\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\mathbf{v}) = -\rho\nabla\Phi - \nabla p + \mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} \\ T(\bar{D}_t s + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_s) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla T \\ \bar{D}_t\rho = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

The second equation in (2.17) can be rewritten in terms of the temperature as

$$D_t T = -\rho c_s^2\Gamma\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\rho C_v}\big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathrm{div}(T\mathbf{j}_s)\big), \tag{2.18}$$

where $C_v(v,T) = T\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial T}(T,v)$ is the specific heat at constant volume, $c_s^2(v,\eta) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial\rho}(v,\eta)$ is the square of the speed of sound, and $\Gamma(p,\eta) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial p}(p,\eta)$ is the diabatic temperature gradient.

For meteorological applications, the potential temperature θ is preferred as the temperature to describe the thermodynamic equation, since it turns out to be conserved in absence of viscosity and heat conduction. A general definition of the potential temperature valid for any state equation is given by

$$\theta(p,T) := T + \int_p^{p_0} \Gamma(p', \eta(p,T))dp', \tag{2.19}$$

where p_0 is a given reference pressure. One obtains, from (2.18), the potential temperature equation

$$D_t\theta = \frac{1}{\rho C_p}\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial T}\big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathrm{div}(T\mathbf{j}_s)\big). \tag{2.20}$$

2.3 The case of dry air and ideal gases

The above development is applicable to any state equation. For the case of a perfect gas, such as the dry air, there are several simplifications. The specific heat coefficient C_v in (2.18) is a constant and from (2.1), we have $p = \rho R_d T$ in (2.17) and $\rho c_s^2 = \frac{p}{\rho C_v}$ in (2.18), where R_d is the gas constant for dry air. In this case, the potential temperature in (2.19) recovers its usual expression $\theta = T/\pi$, where $\pi := (p/p_0)^{R_d/C_p}$ is the Exner pressure associated to p_0. One obtains, from (2.20), the potential temperature equation

$$D_t\theta = \frac{\theta}{\rho T C_p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathrm{div}(T\mathbf{j}_s)). \tag{2.21}$$

It is the system (2.17) with the second equation replaced by (2.21), together with the state equation for the ideal gas $p = \rho R_d T$, that is traditionally used to describe the dynamics of a dry atmosphere, see, for instance, Gill [1982]. Our variational approach is however naturally expressed in terms of the entropy density rather than the potential temperature.

In some atmospheres, the perfect gas hypothesis may no longer be made. For example, for the atmosphere of Venus, while the state equation of an ideal gas can still be used, the specific heat depends on the temperature (Seiff et al. [1985]). An analytic approximation for this temperature dependence is given by $C_p(T) = C_{p_0}(T/T_0)^\nu$, where C_{p_0}, ν, T_0 are constants, see Lebonnois et al [2010], which yields a corresponding expression for the potential temperature, according to the general definition above. Of course, our variational formalism does apply to this case, as it does for any one component gas.

2.4 Heat exchanges

So far, we have considered the atmosphere as an isolated system, i.e., with no exchange of work, heat or matter with its surroundings (space, ocean or earth's surface). The right hand side of the entropy equation in (2.17) thus only consists of a net production of entropy due to the irreversible processes.

Heat exchanges between the atmosphere and its surroundings, such as radiative heating and cooling, surface sensible heat flux and surface latent heat flux, can be easily incorporated in our variational formulation, as long as these are considered as external processes.

We incorporate the heating into our variational formalism (2.4)–(2.6) by modifying the phenomenological constraint (2.5) to

$$\frac{\partial\mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}\dot\Sigma = -\mathbf{P}^{\rm fr} : \nabla\dot\varphi + \mathbf{J}_S\cdot\nabla\dot\Gamma - R, \tag{2.22}$$

where R denotes the heating rate density in Lagrangian representation. The variational constraint (2.6) is however kept unchanged. This follows a general principle on the variational

formulation of thermodynamics stated in Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017a,b], namely, that external effects only affect the phenomenological constraint and not the variational constraint. Schematically, one passes from the phenomenological constraint to the variational constraint as $\sum_\alpha J_\alpha \dot{\Lambda}_\alpha + P_{\text{ext}} \rightsquigarrow \sum_\alpha J_\alpha \delta\Lambda_\alpha$, where P_{ext} denotes the power density associated to heat transfer between the system and the exterior.

In Eulerian representation, the variational formalism (2.11)–(2.14) is thus modified by adding the contribution of the heating in (2.12) as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s} \bar{D}_t \sigma = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{fr}} : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{j}_s \cdot \nabla D_t \gamma - r, \tag{2.23}$$

where R and r are related as $R(t, \mathbf{X}) = r(t, \varphi(t, \mathbf{X}))|\nabla \varphi(t, \mathbf{X})|$. This results in a modification of the entropy equation in (2.15) into

$$T(\bar{D}_t s + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{j}_s) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{fr}} : \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s \cdot \nabla T + r \tag{2.24}$$

and corresponding modifications in the temperature and potential temperature equations.

2.5 Potential vorticity and circulation theorem

Potential vorticity is a scalar field constructed by projecting the absolute vorticity onto the gradient of the potential temperature (or, more generally, the gradient of an advected scalar field) and dividing the result by the total mass density. Potential vorticity is a fundamental quantity since it allows one to understand many processes taking place in the atmosphere and the ocean (e.g., Hoskins, McIntyre, and Robertson [1985]). In absence of irreversible processes, it turns out to be a conserved quantity.

We first recall here how the irreversible processes affect the evolution of the potential vorticity. Then we extend the definition of potential vorticity to the general setting of the Lagrangian system (2.15) and write its evolution equation. Having such a general equation is useful for a unified treatment of potential vorticity for various approximations of the equations of atmospheric dynamics, with the irreversible processes included.

The Rossby-Ertel potential vorticity q is defined by $\rho q = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \cdot \nabla \theta$, where $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the absolute vorticity and θ is the potential temperature defined in (2.19). Its evolution equation

$$\rho D_t q = \operatorname{div} \left(\rho^{-1} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{fr}} \times \nabla \theta + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial T} Q \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \right),$$

follows from the evolution of the absolute vorticity $\partial_t \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a + \operatorname{curl}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \times \mathbf{v}) = \rho^{-2} \nabla \rho \times \nabla p + \operatorname{curl}(\rho^{-1} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{fr}})$, the conservation of mass, and the potential temperature equation (2.21) (see e.g., Haynes and McIntyre [1986]). In absence of viscosity and heat conduction, q is conserved.

In the more general situation of the system (2.15) associated to a general expression of the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$, we can consider a potential vorticity $\tilde{q}$ defined as $\rho \tilde{q} = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \cdot \nabla \psi$, where $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a := \operatorname{curl} \left(\rho^{-1} \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \mathbf{v} \right)$ and ψ is a scalar field satisfying an evolution equation of the type $D_t \psi = \dot{\psi}$. The first equation in (2.15) implies

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a + \operatorname{curl}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \times \mathbf{v}) = \nabla \eta \times \nabla \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s} + \operatorname{curl}(\rho^{-1} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{fr}}). \tag{2.25}$$

From this equation and $D_t \psi = \dot{\psi}$, one obtains the evolution of potential vorticity as

$$\rho D_t \tilde{q} = \operatorname{div} \left(\eta \nabla \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s} \times \nabla \psi + \rho^{-1} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{fr}} \times \nabla \psi + \dot{\psi} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \right). \tag{2.26}$$

If, for example, ψ is chosen as the specific entropy η, then (2.26) simplifies to

$$\rho D_t \tilde{q} = \operatorname{div}\left(\rho^{-1} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} \times \nabla\eta + \dot{\eta}\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a\right),$$

where, from the second equation in (2.15), $\dot{\eta} = \frac{1}{T\rho}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{div}(T\mathbf{j}_s))$ with $T = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}$.

Let us now consider Kelvin's circulation theorem. For the system (2.17) it directly follows from the balance of momentum as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c_t}(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{R})\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{c_t}\rho^{-1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot d\mathbf{x}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = -p\delta + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}},$$

where c_t is a loop advected by the wind flow. Its more general version for system (2.15) is found to be

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c_t}\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{c_t}\left(\eta\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} + \rho^{-1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}\right)\cdot d\mathbf{x}.$$

In absence of viscosity it recovers the general form of Kelvin's circulation theorem in the Euler-Poincaré setting derived in Holm, Marsden and Ratiu [2002].

2.6 Phenomenological relations and entropy production

The phenomenological constraints (2.5) and (2.12) to be used in the variational formulations are determined by the expressions of the thermodynamic fluxes $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ and $\mathbf{j}_s$ in terms of the thermodynamic forces $\nabla\mathbf{v}$ and ∇T. The phenomenological expression of these fluxes must be in agreement with the second law of thermodynamics, which requires that the internal entropy production, given in equation (2.16), is positive. When only viscosity and heat conduction are considered, we have the well-known relations

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} = 2\mu(\operatorname{Def}\mathbf{v}) + \left(\zeta - \frac{2}{3}\mu\right)(\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v})\delta \quad \text{and} \quad T\mathbf{j}_s = -k\nabla T \ \text{ (Fourier law)}, \tag{2.27}$$

with $\operatorname{Def}\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\mathbf{v} + \nabla\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}})$ the deformation tensor, and where $\mu \geq 0$ is the first coefficient of viscosity (shear viscosity), $\zeta \geq 0$ is the second coefficient of viscosity (bulk viscosity), and $k \geq 0$ is the thermal conductivity. In general, these coefficients may all depend on thermodynamic variables. For the atmosphere below 100 km, μ is so small ($\mu = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$kg m^{-1}s^{-1} for standard atmospheric conditions) that molecular viscosity is negligible except in a thin layer within a few centimeters of the earth's surface where the vertical shear is very large. The second coefficient of viscosity is irrelevant in incompressible flows and notoriously difficult to measure in compressible flows. A common assumption is Stokes hypothesis $\zeta = 0$.

3 Variational derivation of the thermodynamic of a moist atmosphere

Moist air consists of dry air and water substance in its different phases, namely, water vapor, liquid water, and solid water. The gas component of moist air is thus a mixture of dry air and water vapor. The liquid and solid phases of water both consist of an airborne component (cloud) that follows the motion of the gas component, and of a precipitating component (rain or snow) that has relative motion with respect to the gas component.

We shall not consider the precipitating component in this paper. Also, for simplicity, we do not consider the ice phase, but it can be easily added. We thus assume that moist air

consists uniquely of dry air, water vapor, and cloud particles. Let us denote by ρ_d, ρ_v, and ρ_c the corresponding mass densities and by $\rho = \rho_d + \rho_v + \rho_c$ the total mass density.

The continuity equation is of the general form

$$\partial_t \rho_k + \operatorname{div}(\rho_k \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{j}_k) = j_k,$$

where $\mathbf{j}_k$ is the diffusion flux density and j_k the source density for substance k, $k = d, v, c$. In the case of the moist atmosphere we assume that $\mathbf{j}_c = 0$ (i.e., cloud particles completely follow the motion of the gas component) and $j_d = 0$, so the continuity equations are

$$\partial_t \rho_d + \operatorname{div}(\rho_d \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{j}_d) = 0, \quad \partial_t \rho_v + \operatorname{div}(\rho_v \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{j}_v) = j_v, \quad \partial_t \rho_c + \operatorname{div}(\rho_c \mathbf{v}) = j_c, \tag{3.1}$$

with $\mathbf{j}_d + \mathbf{j}_v = 0$ and $j_v + j_c = 0$ ($j_v + j_c \neq 0$ if the precipitating component is considered). If vapor is condensed to cloud particles, j_v is negative; if cloud particles evaporate, j_v is positive. It follows that the total mass density is conserved, i.e.,

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

We also define the mass concentration q_k [kg kg^{-1}] and the molar concentration n_k [mol kg^{-1}] as

$$\rho_k = \rho q_k = \rho m_k n_k,$$

where m_k [kg mol^{-1}] is the molecular weight[†]. The vapor concentration q_v is known as the specific humidity.

The equation of state for the moist air can be expressed as

$$p = p_d + p_v = (n_d + n_v)\rho R^* T = (\rho_d R_d + \rho_v R_v) T = \rho R_d T_v, \tag{3.3}$$

where $R_d = R^*/m_d$ and $R_v = R^*/m_v$ are the gas constant for dry air and water vapor, and $T_v = (q_d + \epsilon^{-1} q_v) T$ is the virtual temperature, $\epsilon = m_v/m_d$.

The specific internal energy of the moist air is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u &= q_d C_{vd} T + q_v (L(T) - R_v T) + (q_v + q_c) C_l T \\ &= q_d C_{vd} T + q_d (C_{vv} T + L_{00}) + q_c C_l T, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$L(T) = L_v(T_0) + (C_{pv} - C_l)(T - T_0) = L_{00} + (C_{pv} - C_l) T \tag{3.5}$$

is the specific latent heat of vaporization, with T_0 a reference temperature, C_{vk} and C_{pk} are the specific heat capacities of dry air ($k = d$) and water vapor ($k = v$), and C_l is the specific heat capacity of liquid water (see, e.g., Chapter IV in Iribarne and Godson [1981] for a derivation of this formula). In the Lagrangian formulation below it will be useful to consider the specific internal energy u as a function of the variables η, v, n_d, n_v, n_c, from which we have the thermodynamic relation $du = Td\eta - pdv + \mu_d dn_d + \mu_v dn_v + \mu_d dn_c$, where μ_d, μ_v, μ_c, are the chemical potential.

We shall denote by $\varrho_k(t, \mathbf{X}) = \rho_k(t, \varphi(t, \mathbf{X}))|\nabla\varphi(t, \mathbf{X})|$, $k = d, v, c$, the mass densities in Lagrangian representation and by $\varrho = \varrho_d + \varrho_v + \varrho_c$ the total mass density, which is constant by (3.2). The entropy density in Lagrangian representation is denoted as before by $S(t, \mathbf{X})$.

The Lagrangian of the moist atmosphere is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &L(\varphi, \dot\varphi, \varrho_d, \varrho_v, \varrho_c, S) \\ &\quad = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \varrho \left[\frac{1}{2} |\dot\varphi|^2 + \mathbf{R}(\varphi) \cdot \dot\varphi - \Phi(\varphi) - u\left(\frac{S}{\varrho}, \frac{|\nabla\varphi|}{\varrho}, \frac{\varrho_d}{m_d \varrho}, \frac{\varrho_v}{m_v \varrho}, \frac{\varrho_c}{m_c \varrho} \right) \right] d\mathbf{X} \\ &\quad =: \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{L}\, d\mathbf{X}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

[1]The mean molecular weight of dry air is $m_d = 0.028964$ and $m_v = m_w = 0.018016$.

It has the same expression with the Lagrangian (2.2) of the dry atmosphere, except for the last term, which is the internal energy of the moist air. An important difference is the role played by the mass densities. In (2.2), the mass density ϱ_0 is time independent and seen as a fixed parameter in the variational formulation. In (3.6) the mass densities ϱ_k, $k = d, v, c$ are time dependent variables, which will be fully involved in the variational formulation.

3.1 Variational formulation in Lagrangian description

In order to give a more systematic and general form for the variational formulation and to facilitate the comparison with the setting of Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017b], in this paragraph we do not make explicit use of the conditions $\mathbf{j}_c = 0$ and $j_d = 0$ on the diffusion flux density and the source density. We only assume the conditions $\sum_{k=d,v,c} \mathbf{j}_k = 0$ and $\sum_{k=d,v,c} j_k = 0$. In Lagrangian representation, the diffusion flux density and source density for the component k, $k = d, v, c$, will be denoted by $\mathbf{J}_k$ and J_k.

Slightly extending the approach of Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017b] for multicomponent fluids to allow for the description of phase transitions, we consider the variational formalism

$$\delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{D}} \Big(\mathfrak{L} + \sum_{k=d,v,c} \varrho_k \dot{W}_k + (S - \Sigma)\dot{\Gamma} \Big) d\mathbf{X}\, dt = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

subject to the *phenomenological constraint*

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}\dot{\Sigma} = -\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\dot{\varphi} + \mathbf{J}_S \cdot \nabla\dot{\Gamma} + \sum_{k=d,v,c} (\mathbf{J}_k \cdot \nabla\dot{W}_k + J_k\dot{W}_k) \tag{3.8}$$

and with respect to variations $\delta\varphi$, δS, $\delta\Sigma$, $\delta\Gamma$ subject to the *variational constraint*

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}\delta\Sigma = -\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\delta\varphi + \mathbf{J}_S \cdot \nabla\delta\Gamma + \sum_{k=d,v,c} (\mathbf{J}_k \cdot \nabla\delta W_k + J_k\delta W_k) \tag{3.9}$$

and with $\delta\varphi(t_i) = \delta\Gamma(t_i) = \delta W_k(t_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

The functions W_k in (3.7)–(3.9) will ultimately be identified with the *thermodynamic displacements* associated to the irreversible processes (diffusion and phase transition) undergone by the substance k. This is in analogy with the temperature displacement Γ associated with the irreversible process of heat transfer that already appeared in the dry atmosphere earlier.

The δ-notation in (3.7) indicates that we compute the variation of the functional with respect to all the field variables, namely, $\varphi, S, \Sigma, \Gamma, W_k, \varrho_k$. In a similar way with the case of the dry atmosphere, one passes from (3.8) to (3.9) by formally replacing each occurrence of a time derivative by a δ-variation, i.e., formally following the rule $\sum_\alpha J_\alpha \dot{\Lambda}_\alpha \rightsquigarrow \sum_\alpha J_\alpha \delta\Lambda_\alpha$.

Taking the variations in (3.7), using the virtual constraint (3.9) and collecting the terms proportional to $\delta\varphi$, $\delta\Gamma$, δS, δW_k, and $\delta\varrho_k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} + \mathrm{DIV}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \nabla\varphi} + \dot{\Gamma}\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}^{-1}\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}\right) - \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \varphi} = 0 \\
&\dot{S} = \mathrm{DIV}\left(\dot{\Gamma}\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}^{-1}\mathbf{J}_S\right) + \dot{\Sigma}, \qquad\qquad \dot{\Gamma} = -\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S} \\
&\dot{\varrho}_k - \mathrm{DIV}\left(\dot{\Gamma}\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}^{-1}\mathbf{J}_k\right) + \dot{\Gamma}\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S}^{-1}J_k = 0, \qquad\qquad \dot{W}_k = -\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \varrho_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the third and last equations, we have $\dot{\Gamma} = -\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial S} = \mathfrak{T}$, the temperature in material representation, and $\dot{W}_k = -\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \varrho_k} = \Upsilon_k$, a generalization of the chemical potential of substance k in Lagrangian representation. The second equation thus reads $\dot{S} + \mathrm{DIV}\,\mathbf{J}_S = \dot{\Sigma}$ and attributes to Σ the same meaning as before. From the first and fourth equation and the constraint, we get the system

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}\dfrac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} + \mathrm{DIV}\left(\dfrac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \nabla \varphi} - \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}\right) - \dfrac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial \varphi} = 0 \\ \dot{\varrho}_k + \mathrm{DIV}\,\mathbf{J}_k = J_k \\ \mathfrak{T}(\dot{S} + \mathrm{DIV}\,\mathbf{J}_S) = \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\dot{\varphi} - \mathbf{J}_S \cdot \nabla\mathfrak{T} - \sum_{k=d,v,c}(\mathbf{J}_k \cdot \nabla\Upsilon_k + J_k\Upsilon_k), \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

for the fields $\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})$, $\varrho_k(t,\mathbf{X})$, and $S(t,\mathbf{X})$. The parametrization of the thermodynamic fluxes $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}$, $\mathbf{J}_S$, $\mathbf{J}_k$, and J_k in term of the thermodynamic forces will be discussed in the Eulerian description below.

3.2 Variational formulation in Eulerian description

In terms of Eulerian fields, the Lagrangian (3.6) becomes

$$\ell(\mathbf{v}, \rho_d, \rho_v, \rho_c, s) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \rho_d, \rho_v, \rho_c, s)\, d\mathbf{x},$$

for the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \rho_d, \rho_v, \rho_c, s) = \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2 + \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathbf{v} - \Phi - u\Big(\frac{s}{\rho}, \frac{1}{\rho}, \frac{\rho_d}{m_d\rho}, \frac{\rho_v}{m_v\rho}, \frac{\rho_c}{m_c\rho}\Big)\right). \tag{3.11}$$

The Eulerian quantities associated to $\Gamma(t,\mathbf{X})$, $\Sigma(t,\mathbf{X})$, $\mathbf{J}_S(t,\mathbf{X})$, and $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ are defined as in (2.8), (2.9), (2.10). The diffusion flux densities $\mathbf{j}_k$, the source densities j_k and the thermodynamic displacements w_k, $k = d, v, c$ are related to their Lagrangian counterpart as follows

$$\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}) \cdot \mathbf{J}_k(t,\mathbf{X}) = |\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})|\mathbf{j}_k(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})), \quad J_k(t,\mathbf{X}) = j_k(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X}))|\nabla\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})|$$

and

$$W_k(t,\mathbf{X}) = w_k(t,\varphi(t,\mathbf{X})).$$

With these definitions, the variational formulation (3.7) in Eulerian variables reads

$$\delta\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{D}} \big(\mathcal{L} + \rho_d D_t w_d + \rho_v D_t w_v + \rho_c D_t w_c + (s-\sigma)D_t\gamma\big)d\mathbf{x}\,dt = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

subject to the *phenomenological constraints*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s}\bar{D}_t\sigma = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{j}_s \cdot \nabla D_t\gamma + \sum_{k=d,v,c}(\mathbf{j}_k \cdot \nabla D_t w_k + j_k D_t w_k) \tag{3.13}$$

and with respect to variations $\delta\mathbf{v} = \partial_t\boldsymbol{\zeta} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\zeta} - \boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}$, $\delta\rho_k$, δw_k, δs, $\delta\sigma$, and $\delta\gamma$ such that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, $\delta\sigma$ and $\delta\gamma$ satisfy the *variational constraint*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s}\bar{D}_\delta\sigma = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\boldsymbol{\zeta} + \mathbf{j}_s \cdot \nabla D_\delta\gamma + \sum_{k=d,v,c}(\mathbf{j}_k \cdot \nabla D_\delta w_k + j_k D_\delta w_k) \tag{3.14}$$

with δw_k, $\delta\gamma$, and $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ vanishing at $t = 0, T$. One notes the same rule as before when passing from the phenomenological constraint (3.13) to the variational constraint (3.14).

By applying (3.12) and using the expression for the variations $\delta\mathbf{v}$ and $\delta\rho$, we find

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{D}}\Big[\Big(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}+\sum_k\rho_k\nabla w_k+(s-\sigma)\nabla\gamma\Big)\cdot(\partial_t\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\zeta}-\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v})$$
$$+\sum_k\Big(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k}+D_tw_k\Big)\delta\rho_k-\sum_k\bar{D}_t\rho_k\delta w_k+\Big(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}+D_t\gamma\Big)\delta s-\bar{D}_t(s-\sigma)\delta\gamma-\delta\sigma D_t\gamma\Big]\,d\mathbf{x}\,dt=0.$$

Using the variational constraint (3.14) and collecting the terms proportional to $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, $\delta\gamma$, δs, δw_k, and $\delta\rho_k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_t+\pounds_{\mathbf{v}})\Big(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}+\sum_k\rho_k\nabla w_k+(s-\sigma)\nabla\gamma\Big)=-\sigma\nabla D_t\gamma-\operatorname{div}\Big(D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}\Big)\\
&\qquad+\operatorname{div}\Big(D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}\mathbf{j}_s\Big)\nabla\gamma+\sum_k\operatorname{div}\Big(D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}\mathbf{j}_k\Big)\nabla w_k-D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}j_k\nabla w_k\\
&\bar{D}_t(s-\sigma)=\operatorname{div}\Big(D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}\mathbf{j}_s\Big),\qquad\qquad\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}+D_t\gamma=0,\\
&\bar{D}_t\rho_k=\operatorname{div}\Big(D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}\mathbf{j}_k\Big)-D_t\gamma\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}^{-1}j_k,\qquad\qquad\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k}+D_tw_k=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Further computations yield the system

$$\begin{cases}
(\partial_t+\pounds_{\mathbf{v}})\dfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}=\displaystyle\sum_{k=d,v,c}\rho_k\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k}+s\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}+\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}\\
\dfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}(\bar{D}_ts+\operatorname{div}\mathbf{j}_s)=-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}:\nabla\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla\dfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}-\displaystyle\sum_{k=d,v,c}(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k}+j_k\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k})\\
\bar{D}_t\rho_k+\operatorname{div}\mathbf{j}_k=j_k.
\end{cases}\tag{3.15}$$

These are the general equations of motion, in Lagrangian form, for fluid dynamics subject to the irreversible processes of viscosity, heat conduction, diffusion, and phase transition. As before, γ has the meaning of the temperature displacement and σ is the entropy generation rate density, given here by

$$\bar{D}_t\sigma=\frac{1}{T}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}:\nabla\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla T+\sum_{k=d,v,c}(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k}+j_k\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k})\Big).\tag{3.16}$$

The expressions of the thermodynamic fluxes $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}$, $\mathbf{j}_s$, $\mathbf{j}_k$, j_k will be reviewed later by using Onsager's reciprocal relations.

For the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ of the moist atmosphere in (3.11), we have the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}=\rho(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{R}),\quad\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k}=\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2+\mathbf{R}\cdot\mathbf{v}-\Phi-\frac{\mu_k}{m_k},\quad\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}=-\frac{\partial u}{\partial\eta}=-T,$$

where the second expression is obtained by using the property $u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}v+\frac{\partial u}{\partial\eta}\eta+\sum_k\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_k}n_k$ of the specific internal energy. Inserting these partial derivatives in (3.15) and using $\sum_k\mathbf{j}_k=0$, $\sum_k j_k=0$ and the conservation of the total mass $\bar{D}_t\rho=0$, one gets, after several

computations, the system

$$\begin{cases} \rho(\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\mathbf{v}) = -\rho\nabla\Phi - \nabla p + \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} \\ T(\bar{D}_t s + \operatorname{div}\mathbf{j}_s) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla T - \sum\limits_{k=d,v,c}(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla\dfrac{\mu_k}{m_k} + j_k\dfrac{\mu_k}{m_k}) \\ \bar{D}_t\rho_k + \operatorname{div}\mathbf{j}_k = j_k. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

In terms of the temperature, the second equation in (3.17) takes the complicated form

$$\begin{aligned} D_t T = -\rho c_s^2\Gamma\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\rho C_v}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{div}(T\mathbf{j}_s) - \sum_k(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} + j_k\frac{\mu_k}{m_k})\Big) \\ + \sum_k \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k}(j_k - \operatorname{div}\mathbf{j}_k), \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where $C_v = T\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial T}(v,T,q_d,q_v,q_c)$ is the specific heat of moist air at constant volume, $c_s^2 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial\rho}(v,\eta,q_d,q_v,q_c)$ is the square of the speed of sound, $\Gamma = \frac{\partial T}{\partial p}(p,\eta,q_d,q_v,q_c)$ is the diabatic temperature gradient, and the partial derivative in the last term is taken for the temperature expressed as a function $T = T(v,\eta,q_d,q_v,q_c)$. The pression equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} D_t p = -\rho c_s^2\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v} + \frac{\rho c_s^2\Gamma}{T}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{div}(T\mathbf{j}_s) - \sum_k(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} + j_k\frac{\mu_k}{m_k})\Big) \\ + \sum_k \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial q_k}(j_k - \operatorname{div}\mathbf{j}_k), \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where the partial derivative in the last term is taken for the pression expressed as a function $p = p(v,\eta,q_d,q_v,q_c)$.

For a multicomponent gas in meteorological applications, a generalisation of the potential temperature is defined as

$$\theta(p,T,q_d,q_v,q_c) := T + \int_p^{p_0}\Gamma(p',\eta(p,T,q_d,q_v,q_c),q_d,q_v,q_c)dp', \tag{3.20}$$

where Γ is the diabatic temperature gradient defined above and p_0 is a given a reference pressure. Using the following observations

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial p} = -\Gamma\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial T}, \qquad \frac{1}{\rho C_p} = \frac{1}{\rho C_v} - \frac{\rho c_s^2\Gamma^2}{T}, \qquad \left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k}\right|_{p,\eta} = \left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k}\right|_{v,\eta} - \Gamma\left.\frac{\partial p}{\partial q_k}\right|_{v,\eta},$$

we obtains, from (3.18) and (3.19), the potential temperature equation

$$D_t\theta = \frac{1}{\rho C_p}\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial T}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{div}(T\mathbf{j}_s) - \sum_k(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} + j_k\frac{\mu_k}{m_k})\Big) + \sum_k\frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k}(j_k - \operatorname{div}\mathbf{j}_k), \tag{3.21}$$

where, as opposed to the equation (3.18), the partial derivative in the last term is taken for the temperature expressed as a function $T = T(p,\eta,q_d,q_v,q_c)$. For meteorological applications, it is advantageous to rewrite this equation by using the specific enthalpy. Defining the partial specific enthalpy and entropy $h_k = \frac{\partial h}{\partial q_k}(p,T,q_d,q_v,q_c)$, $\eta_k = \frac{\partial\eta}{\partial q_k}(p,T,q_d,q_v,q_c)$ and noting the equalities $C_p\frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k} = -T\eta_k$ and $\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} = h_k - T\eta_k$, equation (3.21) becomes

$$D_t\theta = \frac{1}{\rho C_p}\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial T}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{j}_s^h) - \sum_k(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla h_k + j_k h_k)\Big), \tag{3.22}$$

where $\mathbf{j}_s^h = T\big(\mathbf{j}_s - \sum_k\eta_k\mathbf{j}_k\big)$ is the sensible heat flux.

3.3 Potential vorticity and circulation theorem

For the system (3.17) with a general state equation, the Rossby-Ertel potential vorticity q can be defined as $\rho q = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \cdot \nabla\theta$, where $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a = \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{v} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the absolute vorticity and θ is the potential temperature defined in (3.20). A lengthy but standard computation yields the evolution equation of q as

$$\rho D_t q = \operatorname{div}\left(-\rho^{-1}\nabla p \times \nabla\theta + \rho^{-1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} \times \nabla\theta + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a\dot{\theta}\right), \tag{3.23}$$

where $\dot{\theta}$ denotes the right hand side of (3.21). Equation (3.23) follows from the evolution of the absolute vorticity $\partial_t\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a + \operatorname{curl}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \times \mathbf{v}) = \rho^{-2}\nabla\rho\times\nabla p + \operatorname{curl}(\rho^{-1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}})$, the conservation of total mass $\bar{D}_t\rho = 0$, and the potential temperature equation (3.21). Note that, unlike the case of a one-component gas, even in absence of irreversible processes, the potential vorticity is not materially conserved as it does not verify $D_t q = 0$, this is due to the dependence of p and θ on the concentrations q_d, q_v, q_c, which makes the term $\operatorname{div}(-\rho^{-1}\nabla p \times \nabla\theta) = \rho^{-2}\nabla\rho\times\nabla p\cdot\nabla\theta$ not zero in general.

We now consider the general Lagrangian system with irreversible processes defined in (3.15), associated to a given Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$, and derive the corresponding potential vorticity evolution. In this general setting, we consider the potential vorticity $\tilde{q}$ defined in terms of $\mathcal{L}$ as $\rho\tilde{q} = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \cdot \nabla\psi$, where $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a = \operatorname{curl}\left(\rho^{-1}\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\mathbf{v}\right)$ and ψ is a scalar field satisfying an evolution equation $D_t\psi = \dot{\psi}$. The first equation in (3.15) implies

$$\partial_t\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a + \operatorname{curl}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a \times \mathbf{v}) = \sum_k \nabla q_k \times \nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k} + \nabla\eta\times\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} + \operatorname{curl}(\rho^{-1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}). \tag{3.24}$$

From this equation and $D_t\psi = \dot{\psi}$, one obtains the evolution of potential vorticity as

$$\rho D_t\tilde{q} = \operatorname{div}\Big(\Big(\sum_k q_k\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k} + \eta\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} + \rho^{-1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}\Big)\times\nabla\psi + \dot{\psi}\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a\Big). \tag{3.25}$$

This general equation is useful for a unified treatment of potential vorticity for various approximations of the equations of atmospheric dynamics obtained via variational principles, in which the irreversible processes are included.

If, for example, ψ is chosen as the specific entropy η, then (3.25) simplifies to

$$\rho D_t\tilde{q} = \operatorname{div}\Big(\Big(\sum_k q_k\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k} + \rho^{-1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}\Big)\times\nabla\eta + \dot{\eta}\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a\Big), \tag{3.26}$$

where, from the second equation in (3.15), $\dot{\eta}$ is given by

$$\dot{\eta} = \frac{1}{T\rho}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{div}(T\mathbf{j}_s) - \sum_k(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} + j_k\frac{\mu_k}{m_k})\Big), \quad T := -\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s}. \tag{3.27}$$

Kelvin's circulation theorem for the system (3.17) directly follows from the balance of momentum as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c_t}(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{R})\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{c_t}\rho^{-1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot d\mathbf{x}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = -p\delta + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}},$$

where c_t is a loop advected by the wind flow. Its more general version for system (3.15) associated to a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ reads

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c_t}\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{c_t}\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\sum_k\rho_k\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\rho_k} + s\nabla\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial s} + \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}\right)\cdot d\mathbf{x}.$$

3.4 Phenomenological relations and entropy production

The system of equations (3.17) needs to be supplemented with phenomenological expressions for the *thermodynamic fluxes* J_α (i.e., $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr}$, $\mathbf{j}_s$, $\mathbf{j}_k$, and j_k) in terms of the *thermodynamic forces* X_α (i.e., $\operatorname{Def}\mathbf{v}$, ∇T, $\nabla\frac{\mu_k}{m_k}$, and $\frac{\mu_k}{m_k}$) compatible with the second law $I = J_\alpha X_\alpha \geq 0$, where I is the internal entropy production density which, in our case, takes the form

$$I = \frac{1}{T}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla T - \sum_{k=d,v,c}(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\nabla\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} + j_k\frac{\mu_k}{m_k})\Big). \tag{3.28}$$

These phenomenological expressions determine the phenomenological constraint (3.13) and its associated variational constraint (3.14) to be used in the variational formalism.

In order to rewrite the expression of entropy used in the variational derivation, in a form that is commonly used in meteorological applications, there are several steps that need to be undertaken. We shall describe them in details below.

It is empirically accepted that for a large class of irreversible processes and under a wide range of experimental conditions, the thermodynamic fluxes J_α are linear functions of the thermodynamic forces X^α, i.e., $J_\alpha = \sum_\beta \mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}X_\beta$, where the transport coefficients $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ are state functions that must be determined by experiments or, if possible, derived by nonequillibrium statistical physics.

Besides defining a positive quadratic form, the coefficients $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ must also satisfy *Onsager-Casimir relations* (Onsager [1931], Casimir [1945]) due to the microscopic time reversibility and the *Curie principle* associated to material invariance (see, for instance, de Groot and Mazur [1969], Kondepudi and Prigogine [1998], Woods [1975]). In the case of a multicomponent gas, decomposing the friction stress tensor and deformation tensor in the sum of a traceless part and a diagonal part, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr})^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3}(\operatorname{Tr}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr})\delta$ and $\operatorname{Def}\mathbf{v} = (\operatorname{Def}\mathbf{v})^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3}(\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v})\delta$, we have the following phenomenological linear relations

$$-\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{j}_s\\ \mathbf{j}_d\\ \mathbf{j}_v\\ \mathbf{j}_c\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}L_{ss} & L_{sd} & \cdots\\ L_{ds} & L_{dd} & \cdots\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\nabla T\\ \nabla\frac{\mu_d}{m_d}\\ \nabla\frac{\mu_v}{m_v}\\ \nabla\frac{\mu_c}{m_c}\end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix}\operatorname{Tr}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr}\\ -j_d\\ -j_v\\ -j_c\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{L}_{00} & \mathcal{L}_{0d} & \cdots\\ \mathcal{L}_{d0} & \mathcal{L}_{dd} & \cdots\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{3}\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v}\\ \frac{\mu_d}{m_d}\\ \frac{\mu_v}{m_v}\\ \frac{\mu_c}{m_c}\end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr})^{(0)} = 2\mu(\operatorname{Def}\mathbf{v})^{(0)},$$

where all the coefficients may depend on (p, T, q_d, q_v, q_c). The first linear relation describes the vectorial phenomena of heat conduction (Fourier law), diffusion (Fick law) and their cross effects (Soret and Dufour effects). The second relation describes the scalar processes of bulk viscosity, phase changes, and their possible cross-phenomena. The third relation describes the tensorial process of shear viscosity. The associated friction stress reads

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} = 2\mu\operatorname{Def}\mathbf{v} + \left(\frac{1}{9}\mathcal{L}_{00} - \frac{2}{3}\mu\right)(\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v})\delta + \frac{1}{3}\sum_\ell \mathcal{L}_{0\ell}\frac{\mu_\ell}{m_\ell}\delta.$$

The condition $\sum_k \mathbf{j}_k = 0$ is satisfied if $\sum_k L_{ks} = \sum_k L_{k\ell} = 0$, for all ℓ. Similarly, condition $\sum_k j_k = 0$ is satisfied if $\sum_k \mathcal{L}_{k0} = \sum_k \mathcal{L}_{k\ell} = 0$, for all ℓ.

The *Onsager-Casimir* relations imply

$$L_{sk} = L_{ks}, \qquad L_{kl} = L_{lk}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{0k} = -\mathcal{L}_{k0}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{kl} = \mathcal{L}_{lk}, \qquad \text{for all } k, l = d, v, c,$$

see, e.g., de Groot and Mazur [1969].

We now explain how these general identities relate to those used in meteorological applications. In this case, the internal entropy production due to the vectorial processes in (3.28) is usually written in terms of the sensible heat flux $\mathbf{j}_s^h = T\big(\mathbf{j}_s - \sum_k \eta_k \mathbf{j}_k\big)$ and the thermodynamic forces $\frac{\nabla T}{T}$ and $(\nabla \frac{\mu_k}{m_k})_T$ as

$$-\mathbf{j}_s^h \cdot \frac{1}{T}\nabla T - \sum_{k=d,v,c} \mathbf{j}_k \cdot \Big(\nabla \frac{\mu_k}{m_k}\Big)_T, \tag{3.29}$$

where $(\nabla \frac{\mu_k}{m_k})_T$ denotes the gradient of the function $\frac{\mu_k}{m_k}$ with respect to the variables (p, q_d, q_v, q_c) only, the temperature being seen as a parameter. The linear phenomenological relations are written in this case as

$$-\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}_s^h \\ \mathbf{j}_d \\ \mathbf{j}_v \\ \mathbf{j}_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ss} & A_{sd} & \cdots \\ A_{ds} & A_{dd} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\nabla T}{T} \\ (\nabla \frac{\mu_d}{m_d})_T \\ (\nabla \frac{\mu_v}{m_v})_T \\ (\nabla \frac{\mu_c}{m_c})_T \end{bmatrix}.$$

One now observes that the matrices

$$\mathsf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{ss} & L_{sd} & L_{sv} & L_{sc} \\ L_{ds} & L_{dd} & L_{dv} & L_{dc} \\ L_{vs} & L_{vd} & L_{vv} & L_{vc} \\ L_{cs} & L_{cd} & L_{cv} & L_{cc} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ss} & A_{sd} & A_{sv} & A_{sc} \\ A_{ds} & A_{dd} & A_{dv} & A_{dc} \\ A_{vs} & A_{vd} & A_{vv} & A_{vc} \\ A_{cs} & A_{cd} & A_{cv} & A_{cc} \end{bmatrix}$$

are related as

$$\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{M}\mathsf{L}\mathsf{M}^T, \quad \text{for} \quad \mathsf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} T & -T\eta_d & -T\eta_v & -T\eta_c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since M is invertible ($T > 0$), it follows that L is symmetric and positive if and only if A is symmetric and positive. So, one can equivalently apply Onsager's reciprocal relation to the fluxes and forces $(\mathbf{j}_s^h, \mathbf{j}_k)$ and $(\nabla T/T, (\nabla \mu_k/m_k)_T)$ or to the fluxes and forces $(\mathbf{j}_s, \mathbf{j}_k)$ and $(\nabla T, \nabla \mu_k/m_k)$. The former being the one used in meteorological applications, the latter being the one naturally associated to our variational formulation, see (3.13), with

$$\nabla T = \nabla D_t \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \mu_k = \nabla D_t w_k,$$

for the thermodynamic displacements γ and w_k.

Furthermore, since $\sum_{k=d,v,c} \mathbf{j}_k = 0$, it is possible to eliminate one of the flux, say $\mathbf{j}_d$, and to rewrite the entropy production associated to the diffusion processes as $\sum_{k=v,c} \mathbf{j}_k \cdot \nabla\big(\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} - \frac{\mu_d}{m_d}\big)$, similarly for $\sum_{k=v,c} \mathbf{j}_k \cdot \nabla\big(\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} - \frac{\mu_d}{m_d}\big)_T$. One then checks that imposing the Onsager reciprocal relations and positiveness of the matrix in this reduced form turns out to be equivalent to imposing them in the above form, where the conditions $\sum_k L_{ks} = \sum_k L_{k\ell} = 0$ and $\sum_k A_{ks} = \sum_k A_{k\ell} = 0$, for all ℓ, are assumed. It is this reduced form that we will use below for the moist atmosphere.

3.5 Entropy production in the moist atmosphere

So far we have not specified the state equations, so the above development is valid for any multicomponent gas. Let us now assume the relations (3.3) and (3.4). We also assume that the continuity equations for ρ_k, $k = d, v, c$ have the specific form (3.1), i.e., the conditions $\mathbf{j}_c = 0$, $j_d = 0$, and hence $\mathbf{j}_d + \mathbf{j}_v = 0$ and $j_v + j_c = 0$, hold.

Definition (3.20) yields the expression of the potential temperature as

$$\theta(T,p,q_d,q_v,q_c) = T\left(\frac{p_0}{p}\right)^{\frac{C_p-C_v}{C_p}},$$

where $C_v = q_dC_{vd}+q_vC_{vv}+q_cC_l$ and $C_p = q_dC_{pd}+q_vC_{pv}+q_cC_l$. The potential temperature equation (3.22) becomes

$$D_t\theta = \frac{1}{\rho C_p}\frac{\theta}{T}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathrm{div}(\mathbf{j}_s^h) - (C_{pv}-C_{pd})\mathbf{j}_v\cdot\nabla T - L(T)j_v\Big),$$

where $L(T) = h_v - h_c$ is the specific latent heat of vaporization defined in (3.5).

The internal entropy production (3.28), with the vectorial processes rewritten using (3.29), becomes

$$I = \frac{1}{T}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s^h\cdot\frac{1}{T}\nabla T - \mathbf{j}_v\cdot\nabla\Big(\frac{\mu_v}{m_v}-\frac{\mu_d}{m_d}\Big)_T - j_v\Big(\frac{\mu_v}{m_v}-\frac{\mu_c}{m_c}\Big)\Big) \tag{3.30}$$

so that the parameterization of the vectorial and scalar processes is of the form

$$-\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{j}_s^h\\ \mathbf{j}_v\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}A_{ss} & A_{sv}\\ A_{vs} & A_{vv}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\nabla T/T\\ \nabla\big(\frac{\mu_v}{m_v}-\frac{\mu_d}{m_d}\big)_T\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}\mathrm{Tr}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr}\\ -j_v\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{L}_{00} & \mathcal{L}_{0v}\\ \mathcal{L}_{v0} & \mathcal{L}_{vv}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{v}\\ \frac{\mu_v}{m_v}-\frac{\mu_c}{m_c}\end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.31}$$

where the coefficients verify the Onsager-Casimir relations $A_{sv} = A_{vs}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0v} = -\mathcal{L}_{v0}$. In (3.31), the first phenomenological relation describes the processes of diffusion, heat conduction and thermo-diffusion. The matrix elements are related to the coefficients associated to these three processes. The second relation in (3.31) describes the coupling of viscous processes and phase changes.

In the case of moist air, equations (3.30) and (3.31) can be explicitly given in terms of the variables (p,T,q_d,q_v,q_c) as

$$\nabla\big(\frac{\mu_v}{m_v}-\frac{\mu_d}{m_d}\big)_T = T\Big(R_v\frac{\nabla p_v}{p_v} - R_d\frac{\nabla p_d}{p_d}\Big) \quad\text{and}\quad \frac{\mu_v}{m_v}-\frac{\mu_c}{m_c} = R_vT\ln\frac{p_v}{p^*(T)}, \tag{3.32}$$

where $p^*(T)$ is the saturation vapor pressure[†].

The expression of the internal entropy production (3.30), with the relations (3.32), is of fundamental use for the study of the entropy budget of the atmosphere, see, e.g., Pauluis and Held [2002a,b].

The inclusion of the airborne ice component in our variational formulation is possible and does not present any supplementary difficulties. Our variational formalism also allows the inclusion of chemical reactions in the dynamics. This can be achieved by combining the variational formulation presented earlier with the variational formulation for chemical reactions presented in Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017b].

External heat sources and sinks, such as radiative exchange and heat exchange at the earth's surface, can be easily included in our variational formulation, in a similar way with the case of the dry atmosphere in (2.22)–(2.24) earlier.

Remark 3.1 (Generalization to Riemannian manifolds)**.** In this paper, for ease of presentation, we have worked with Cartesian spaces, canonical inner product and volume form. Our results can be easily formulated in the general case of Riemannian manifolds as was

[2]The saturation vapor pressure is $p^*(T) = p_0^*\left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{\frac{C_{pv}-C_l}{R_v}}\exp\Big[\frac{L_{00}}{R_v}\Big(\frac{1}{T_0}-\frac{1}{T}\Big)\Big]$, see, e.g., Curry and Webster [1999].

done in Gay-Balmaz and Yoshimura [2017b]. In this case, some of the differential operators denoted ∇ earlier have to be appropriately defined in terms of the Riemannian metric. For example, on a Riemannian manifold, with Riemannian metric g, the first two terms in the Lagrangian (3.11) are defined with respect to the metric g and the system (3.17) reads

$$\begin{cases} \rho\left(\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v} + 2(\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{v}}\boldsymbol{\Omega})^\sharp\right) = -\rho\,\mathrm{grad}\,\Phi - \mathrm{grad}\,p + \mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} \\ T(\bar{D}_t s + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_s) = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}})^\flat : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\mathbf{d}T - \sum_{k=d,v,c}(\mathbf{j}_k\cdot\mathbf{d}\frac{\mu_k}{m_k} + j_k\frac{\mu_k}{m_k}) \\ \bar{D}_t\rho_k + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_k = j_k, \end{cases} \tag{3.33}$$

where the covariant derivative ∇, the divergence operator div (including the one appearing in $\bar{D}_t$), and the gradient operator grad are all associated to the Riemannian metric. The operator $\mathbf{d}$, however, is the usual differential of functions. The stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ is a symmetric 2-contravariant tensor field, $\flat$ is the lowering index operator associated to the Riemannian metric, and $\sharp = \flat^{-1}$. In the balance of momentum, we have $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{v}}\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{v}, _)$, where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the 2-form defined in terms of the vector field $\mathbf{R}$ as $2\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathbf{d}\mathbf{R}^\flat$, with $\mathbf{d}$ the exterior derivative.

4 The case of the thermodynamics of the ocean

In this last section, we quickly indicate how to adapt the variational formulation developed above to the case of ocean thermodynamics, including the irreversible processes of molecular viscosity, heat conduction, and salt diffusion. Sea water is a two-component system consisting of water and sea salt, with mass densities ρ_w and ρ_ς. These mass densities satisfy the continuity equations

$$\partial_t\rho_w + \mathrm{div}(\rho_w\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{j}_w) = 0, \quad \partial_t\rho_s + \mathrm{div}(\rho_\varsigma\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{j}_\varsigma) = 0,$$

where the diffusion fluxes $\mathbf{j}_w$ and $\mathbf{j}_\varsigma$ verify $\mathbf{j}_w + \mathbf{j}_\varsigma = 0$. The equation of state of sea water is expressed in the form

$$\rho = \rho(\eta, T, q_\varsigma),$$

where $\rho = \rho_w + \rho_\varsigma$ is the total mass density and q_ς is the salinity given by $\rho q_\varsigma = \rho_\varsigma$. Accurate approximations of the equation of state for the ocean, have been found by experiment, see, e.g. Gill [1982, Appendix 3].

Upon using the mass densities ρ_w, ρ_ς instead of ρ_d, ρ_v, ρ_c, ignoring phase changes (i.e., setting $j_k = 0$), and using the expression of the internal energy $u(\eta, v, q_w, q_\varsigma)$ of sea water, our variational approach developed in Section 3 readily applies to ocean dynamics including the irreversible processes of molecular viscosity, heat conduction, and salt diffusion.

In particular, with the above adaptations, the variational formulation for ocean thermodynamics is given by (3.7)–(3.9) in the Lagrangian description, and by (3.12)–(3.14) in the Eulerian description. By following the same steps as earlier, on gets, instead of equation (3.17), the system

$$\begin{cases} \rho(\partial_t\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\mathbf{v}) = -\rho\nabla\Phi - \nabla p + \mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} \\ T(\bar{D}_t s + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_s) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{fr}} : \nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s\cdot\nabla T - \mathbf{j}_w\cdot\nabla\frac{\mu_w}{m_w} - \mathbf{j}_\varsigma\cdot\nabla\frac{\mu_\varsigma}{m_\varsigma} \\ \bar{D}_t\rho_w + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_w = 0, \quad \bar{D}_t\rho_\varsigma + \mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{j}_\varsigma = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Being derived for arbitrary state equations, our discussions of potential temperature, potential vorticity and Kelvin's circulation theorem in Section 3 directly apply to ocean thermodynamics.

The internal entropy production (3.30) takes the simpler form

$$I = \frac{1}{T}\Big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} : \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{j}_s^h \cdot \frac{1}{T}\nabla T - \mathbf{j}_\varsigma \cdot \nabla\Big(\frac{\mu_\varsigma}{m_\varsigma} - \frac{\mu_w}{m_w}\Big)_T\Big), \tag{4.2}$$

with phenomenological relations

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm fr} = 2\mu(\mathrm{Def}\,\mathbf{v}) + \left(\zeta - \frac{2}{3}\mu\right)(\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{v}), \quad -\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{j}_s^h \\ \mathbf{j}_\varsigma\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}A_{ss} & A_{s\varsigma} \\ A_{\varsigma s} & A_{\varsigma\varsigma}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\nabla T/T \\ \nabla\big(\frac{\mu_\varsigma}{m_\varsigma} - \frac{\mu_w}{m_w}\big)_T\end{bmatrix},$$

where the coefficients verify the Onsager-Casimir relations $A_{s\varsigma} = A_{\varsigma s}$

5 Conclusion and further directions

In this paper, we have presented a variational derivation of the dynamics of the moist atmosphere that includes the irreversible processes of molecular viscosity, heat conduction, diffusion and phase transition. The variational formulation is an extension of the classical Hamilton principle for continuum mechanics, and is based on the introduction of new variables, called thermodynamic displacements, associated to each of the irreversible processes. We presented this principle in both the Lagrangian and Eulerian descriptions. The impact of the irreversible processes on the potential vorticity equation and on Kelvin's circulation theorem, was computed by staying in the general Lagrangian framework associated to our variational formulation. This provides us with a unified treatment that is potentially useful for the study and development of various approximation models for moist atmospheric thermodynamics, via the variational framework. We project to explore this issue in a future work. Another important direction of research is to explore the possibility of consistently including the rain process in the variational principle, following the models derived in Ooyama [2001] and Bannon [2002], for instance, that include the relative motions of rainwater in the equations describing the dynamics and thermodynamics of the moist atmosphere.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to H. Yoshimura for constructive comments during the course of this work. This work is partially supported by the ANR project GEOMFLUID, ANR-14-CE23-0002-01.

References

Bannon, P. E. [2002], Theoretical foundations for models of moist convection, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **52**, 1967–1982.

Bauer, W. and F. Gay-Balmaz [2016], Variational discretization of the anelastic and pseudo-incompressible equations, preprint.

Bloch, A. M. [2003], *Nonholonomic Mechanics and Control*, volume 24 of *Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York. With the collaboration of J. Baillieul, P. Crouch and J. Marsden, and with scientific input from P. S. Krishnaprasad, R. M. Murray and D. Zenkov.

Bretherton, F. P. [1970], A note on Hamilton's principle for perfect fluids, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **44**, 19–31.

Casimir, H. G. B. [1945], On Onsager's principle of microscopic reversibility, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **17**, 343.

Curry, J. A. and P. J. Webster [1999], *Thermodynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA.

Desbrun, M., F. Gay-Balmaz, E. Gawlik, and V. Zeitlin [2014], Variational discretization for rotating stratified fluids, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. Series A*, **34**(2), 479–511.

de Groot, S. R and P. Mazur [1969], *Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics*, North-Holland.

Eckart, C. [1960], Variation principles of hydrodynamics, *Phys. Fkuids*, **3**, 421–427.

Gawlik, E. S., P. Mullen, D. Pavlov, J. E. Marsden, and M. Desbrun [2011], Geometric, variational discretization of continuum theories, *Physica D*, **240**(21), 1724–1760.

Gay-Balmaz, F. and H. Yoshimura [2017a], A Lagrangian formulation for nonequilibrium thermodynamics. Part I: discrete systems, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **111**, 169–193.

Gay-Balmaz, F. and H. Yoshimura [2017b], A Lagrangian formulation for nonequilibrium thermodynamics. Part II: continuum systems, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **111**, 194–212.

Green, A. E. and P. M. Naghdi [1991], A re-examination of the basic postulates of thermomechanics, *Proc. R. Soc. London.* Series A: *Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, **432**(1885), 171–194.

Gill, A. E. [1982], *Atmosphere-Ocean dynamics*, International geophysics series, Vol. **30**.

Haynes, P. and M. E. McIntyre [1986], On the evolution of vorticity and potential vorticity in the presence of diabatic heating and frictional or other forces, *J. Atm. Sc.*, **44**(5), 828–841.

Herivel, J. W. [1955], The derivation of the equations of motion of an ideal fluid by Hamilton's principle, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **51**, 344–349.

Holm, D. D., J E. Marsden and T. S. Ratiu [2002], The Euler-Poincaré equations in geophysical fluid dynamics. *Large-scale atmosphere-ocean dynamics*, Vol. II, 251–300, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

Hoskins, B. J., M. E. McIntyre, and A. W. Robertson [1985], On the use and significance of isentropic potential vorticity maps, *Q. J. R. Met. Soc.*, **111**, 877–946.

Iribarne, J. V. and Godson, W. L. [1981], Atmospheric Thermodynamics, 2nd ed. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Kondepudi, D. and I. Prigogine [1998], *Modern Thermodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons.

Landau, L and E. M. Lifshitz [1987], *Fluid mechanics*, 2nd Ed., Btterworth-Heinemann, 539 pp.

Lebonnois, S., F. Hourdin, V. Eymet, A. Crespin, R. Fournier, and F. Forget [2010], Superrotation of Venus atmosphere analyzed with a full general circulation model, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, **115**: 6006.

Lin, C. C. [1963], Liquid Helium, *Proc. Int. School of Physics*, Course XXI. New-York: Academic Press.

Müller, P. [1995], Ertel's potential vorticity theorem in physical oceanography, *Reviews of Geophysics*, **33**(1), 67–97.

Onsager, L. [1931], Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes I, *Phys. Rev.* **37**, 405–426; Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes II, *Phys. Rev.* **38**, 2265–2279.

Toyama, K. V. [2001], A dynamic and thermodynamic foundation for modeling the moist atmosphere with parameterized microphysics, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **58**, 2073–2102.

Pauluis, O. and I. M. Held [2002a], Entropy budget of an atmosphere in radiative-convective equilibrium. Part I: maximum work and frictional dissipation, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **59**, 125–139.

Pauluis, O. and I. M. Held [2002b], Entropy budget of an atmosphere in radiative-convective equilibrium. Part II: latent heat transport and moist processes, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **59**, 140–149.

Pavlov, D., P. Mullen, Y. Tong, E. Kanso, J. E. Marsden, and M. Desbrun [2010], Structure-preserving discretization of incompressible fluids, *Physica D*, **240**(6), 443–458.

Satoh, M. [2014], *Atmospheric Circulation Dynamics and General Circulation Models*, 2nd Ed., Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

Salmon, R. [1983], Practical use of Hamilton's principle, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **132**, 431–44.

Salmon, R. [1988], Hamilton fluid dynamics, *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, **20**, 225–256.

Seiff, A., J. T. Schofield, A. J. Kliore, F. W. Taylor, S. S. Limaye, H. E. Revercomb, L. A. Sromovsky, V. V. Kerzhanovich, V. I. Moroz, and M. Y. Marov [1985], Model of the structure of the atmosphere of Venus from surface to 100 kilometers altitude, *Adv. Space Res.*, **5**, 3–58.

Seliger, R. L. and G. B. Whitham [1968], Variational principles in continuum mechanics, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A*. **305**, 1–25.

Serrin, J. [1959], Mathematical principles of classical fluid mechanics, *Handbuch der Physik VIII-I*, 125–263, Berlin, Springer-Verlag.

Truesdell, C. and R. Toupin [1960], The classical field theories, *Handbuch der Physik III-I*, 226–790, Berlin, Springer-Verlag.

von Helmholtz, H. [1884], Studien zur Statik monocyklischer Systeme. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 159–177.

Woods, L. C. [1975], *The Thermodynamics of Fluid Systems*, Clarendon Press Oxford 1975.